



TULE RIVER TRIBAL COUNCIL

TULE RIVER INDIAN RESERVATION

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

TRTC-20-2020

ADDENDUM NO. 1

January 10, 2020

TO: PROSPECTIVE PROPOSERS

FROM: Corina Harris, Director of Procurement

This Addendum No. 1 forms a part of the Contract Documents and modifies the original Request for Proposals dated December 30, 2019. Acknowledge receipt of this and all subsequent addendum on the space provided on the form attached as Exhibit 1. *This form must be included with your Proposal and failure to do so may subject Proposer to disqualification.*

This Addendum consists of four (4) pages and the following attached documents:

- i) Exhibit 1 - Acknowledgement of Receipt of Addendum

_____ . _____

CLARIFICATION TO QUESTIONS

- 1) A question was raised regarding if there will be a PLA agreement?

Clarification: No a PLA is not anticipated, however your proposals must divulge all union affiliations requiring union labor.

- 2) A question was raised in regards to confirming the RFP due date is January 22, at 5pm and not January 19th as shown on the cover of the RFP.

Clarification: The proposal due date is no later than 5:00 pm on January 22, 2020.

- 3) A question was raised regarding in the beginning of Tab 8 preconstruction, it indicates that we are to use the excel spreadsheet in RFP package and that a PDF is not acceptable. An Excel spreadsheet is not located on the RFP website. Please provide the EXCEL spreadsheet for RFP pricing.

Clarification: Please see the Tribes website under 'RFP's'. The excel sheet and all other Word documents to be filled in by your firms are now available.

- 4) A question was raised regarding will this be inspected by City, County, or Third Party?

Clarification: Inspections for the project will be carried out by a third party inspector contracted directly by the owner.

- 5) A question was raised regarding Tab 5 of the RFP asks for a preliminary staging plan showing how we would phase construction. During the pre-bid, it was noted the scope of work was modified to remove the amphitheater and parking garage. Please advise if there are any other building component changes we should be aware of as we compile our response to the RFP.

Clarification: Please review the RFP page 4 of 20 for the project scope. This is the scope to be discussion under Tab 5 of your proposal response.

- 6) A question was raised regarding there is a request for a phasing plan in the RFQ. It was discussed briefly at the pre-bid meeting stating a phasing plan is not necessary since the project is one phased project. Please confirm a logistics phasing plan is not required.

Clarification: The project scope as described on page 4 of 20 in the RFP will be built in a single phase. However, the 'logistics of the build' will need to be discussed within Tab 5 of your proposal response. We are interested in all aspects of building such a project including but not limited to access, cranes, egress, lay down areas, road maintenance, utility resources, management offices, etc.

- 7) A question was raised in regards to please clarify if we are to use the dates in the excel document "input" Tab or revise the document to fit the dates shown in the RFQ under section 2. Preconstruction Services are shown as starting 5/15/2020 in the RFQ but the excel document has us starting date 1/15/2020.

Clarification: The Preconstruction date of 1/15/2020 is the correct date (Excel Sheet)

- 8) A question was raised in regards to what should the Construction Completion Date be? The RFQ list substantial completion as 4/11/2022 and final completion 7/14/2022. The excel file list a completion date of 5/11/2022.

Clarification:

- A. Substantial completion is 4/11/2022.
- B. Grand Opening is 30 days later at 5/11/2022 (project 100% open and operational).
- C. End of Close out is 7/14/2022.

- 9) A question was raised regarding in section 4 of the RFQ it is stated that we are to provide a 3rd party design peer review for various trades. It is typical that this 3rd party is contracted through the owner to prevent any sort of bias. Is the intent to have the contractor contract the 3rd party services listed in 4.1 directly?

Clarification: It is anticipated the owner would contract directly for the 3rd party peer reviews.

- 10) A question was raised in regards to due to the holidays just finishing and the condensed response time on the RFP, would you consider extending the RFP due date 2-3 weeks allowing general contractors needed time to provide a thorough response.

Clarification: An RFP due date extension is not being considered at this time.

- 11) A question was raised in regards the project construction schedule is approximately 17 months in the RFP. This includes a final finish plan submitted to the GC two months prior to substantial completion. Taking into account the labor force in the Porterville area and the Central Valley and the release of drawings, this is a very aggressive schedule that could potentially affect the budget. Is the schedule to be firmly held?

Clarification: The finishes package is schedule to be released on 2/15/2021. Substantial Completion is on 4/11/2022 approximately 14 months later. The schedule is to be held.

Thank you.

EXHIBIT #1

TULE RIVER TRIBAL COUNCIL

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Number TRTC-20-2020

I/We the undersigned, acknowledge that we have received and read the Addendum(s) as listed to the Request for Proposals, No. TRTC-20-2020 for Construction Manager at Risk.

Addendum No. _____ Dated _____

By: _____ (print name)

By: _____ (sign)

Company: _____

Date: _____ 2020